

# Charity Navigator rating methodology applied to Chatham Education Foundation

- Charity Navigator rating system examines <u>Financial Health</u> and <u>Accountability & Transparency</u> (average of last three fiscal years)
  - Chatham Education Foundation rates 3 stars out of maximum 4: "exceeds or meets industry standards and performs as well as or better than most charities in its cause"

# Financial Health

- Financial efficiency performance metrics
  - Program expense percentage = program expenses/total expenses
    - Program expenses are grants and scholarships given to the School District of the Chathams
    - CEF Program Expense Percentage = 79%, rated 8/10
  - Administrative expense percentage = administrative expenses/total expenses
    - CEF Administrative expense percentage = 3% (lower is better), rated 10/10
  - Fundraising expense percentage = fundraising expenses/total expenses
    - CEF Fundraising expense percentage = 12% (lower is better), rated
      7.5/10
  - Fundraising efficiency = fundraising expenses/total contributions
    - CEF Fundraising efficiency = \$0.09 (lower is better), rated 10/10
    - Charity's fundraising efficiency defined as how much it spends to generate \$1 in charitable contributions

# Financial capacity performance metrics

- Program expense growth = compound annual growth over past 4 years
  - CEF Program expense growth = 1% (higher is better), rated 1/10
  - Charities that spend more year over year on their programs and services continue to have a greater impact on their charitable missions.
- Working capital ratio = working capital /total expenses
  - CEF Working capital ratio = 3.1 (higher is better), rated 10/10
    - Working capital for Chatham Education Foundation includes book value of the quasi endowment
  - Charity's working capital ratio is defined as how long it could sustain its current programs without generating new revenue.
  - Charities depend upon their reserves of liquid assets to survive downward economic trends and sustain their existing programs and services.
  - When giving flows, charities that build working capital develop a greater capability for expanding and improving their programs.
- Liabilities to assets ratio = liabilities/assets
  - CEF Liabilities to assets ratio = zero (no liabilities), rated 10/10
  - This metric helps donors understand if their donations are being used to service debt rather than servicing the charitable mission.

# Financial health rating

- Add up the 7 scores and add 30 points (to convert the score to a 100 point scale) to come up with Financial Health score.
- CEF Financial Health Score = 87/100, rated 3 stars (max 4)

# Accountability and Transparency

- Chatham Education Foundation rating = 8/100, 3 star rating (maximum 4 stars)
  - · Chatham Education Foundation In compliance in following areas:
    - Independent board
    - No material diversion of assets
    - No loans to or from related parties
    - · Documents Board meeting minutes
    - Provided copy of Form 990 to governing body in advance of filing
    - Conflict of interest policy
    - Whistleblower policy
    - Records retention and destruction policy
    - CEO listed with salary (no salary)
    - Process for determining CEO compensation (no compensation)
    - Board listed/board members not compensated
  - Chatham Education Foundation not in compliance in following area:
    - Audited financials prepared by independent accountant with an audit oversight committee (deduction of 19 points)
    - Audit may be expensive (~\$5-10k) and is not required by NJ or IRS for a small charity (less than \$500k gross receipts, vs CEF \$259k)

# Overall score and star rating

- Overall CEF score = 84/100, 3 star rating
  - 3 stars 80-90 (good exceeds or meets industry standards and performs as well as or better than most charities in its cause)
- Overall score = 100 square root of ((100-financial health score)^2 +(100-accountability and transparency score)^2)/2)
- Chatham Education Foundation performed this internal rating analysis using Charity Navigator methodology on data from Form 990 tax returns for fiscal years 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18